

On Greedy Algorithms for dictionary with bounded cumulative coherence.¹

Eugene Livshitz

Abstract

We discuss the upper and lower estimates for the rate of convergence of Pure and Orthogonal Greedy Algorithms for dictionary with bounded cumulative coherence.

Introduction. Let H be a real, separable Hilbert space equipped with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the norm $\| \cdot \| = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{1/2}$. We say that a set $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{D} \subset H$ is a dictionary if

$$g \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \|g\| = 1, \text{ and } \overline{\text{span}}\mathcal{D} = H.$$

Recently the following problem has been intensively studied in Approximation Theory and Numeral Analysis: to construct by element $f \in H$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ an m -term combination

$$f \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^m c_k(f) g_k(f), \quad c_k(f) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad g_k(f) \in \mathcal{D}$$

that provides a good approximation for f . Greedy Algorithms turn out to be effective for obtaining such m -term approximations (see tutorial [T] for details). Two most popular of them are defined below.

PURE GREEDY ALGORITHM (PGA) Set $f_0^{PGA} := f \in H$, $G_0^{PGA}(f, \mathcal{D}) := 0$. For each $m \geq 0$ we inductively find $g_{m+1}^{PGA} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$|\langle f_m^{PGA}, g_{m+1}^{PGA} \rangle| = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{D}} |\langle f_m^{PGA}, g \rangle| \quad (1)$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m+1}^{PGA}(f, \mathcal{D}) &:= G_m^{PGA}(f, \mathcal{D}) + \langle f_m^{PGA}, g_{m+1}^{PGA} \rangle g_{m+1}^{PGA}, \\ f_{m+1}^{PGA} &:= f - G_{m+1}^{PGA}(f, \mathcal{D}) = f_m^{PGA} - \langle f_m^{PGA}, g_{m+1}^{PGA} \rangle g_{m+1}^{PGA}. \end{aligned}$$

ORTHOGONAL GREEDY ALGORITHM (OGA) Set $f_0^{OGA} := f \in H$, $G_0^{OGA}(f, \mathcal{D}) := 0$. For each $m \geq 0$ we inductively find $g_{m+1}^{OGA} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$|\langle f_m^{OGA}, g_{m+1}^{OGA} \rangle| = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{D}} |\langle f_m^{OGA}, g \rangle| \quad (2)$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m+1}^{OGA}(f, \mathcal{D}) &:= \text{Proj}_{g_1^{OGA}, \dots, g_{m+1}^{OGA}}(f), \\ f_{m+1}^{OGA} &:= f - G_{m+1}^{OGA}(f, \mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $f \in H$ and each $m \geq 1$ we construct m -term approximations $G_m^{PGA}(f, \mathcal{D})$ and $G_m^{OGA}(f, \mathcal{D})$.

¹This research is partially supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research project 08-01-00799 and 09-01-12173

In this article we study the rate of convergence of Greedy Algorithms for class $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{D})$ that is a set of finite linear combination of elements from $\mathcal{D}$ and classes $\mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{D})$, $1 \leq p < 2$, defined below. For $M \geq 0$ we define

$$\mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{D}, M) := \overline{\left\{ \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\lambda g^\lambda : \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^p \leq M^p, c_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, g^\lambda \in \mathcal{D}, \#\Lambda < \infty \right\}},$$

(where closure is taken in the norm of H). Set

$$\mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{D}) := \bigcup_{M \geq 0} \mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{D}, M),$$

$$|f|_p := |f|_{\mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{D})} := \inf\{M \geq 0 : f \in \mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{D}, M)\}, f \in \mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{D}).$$

From results of R.A. DeVore, V.N. Temlyakov and E.D. Livshitz [DT], [LT], [L] it follows that Orthogonal Greedy Algorithm does provide the optimal rate of convergence $C|f|_1 m^{-1/2}$ in $\mathcal{A}^1(\mathcal{D})$, but Pure Greedy Algorithm doesn't. For narrower classes such as $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{D})$ the rate of convergence of OGA could not be better than $Cm^{-1/2}$ and would not be optimal. In the same time if dictionary $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies some additional properties the rate of convergence of Greedy Algorithms (for some classes) could be essentially better. This area is called *Sparse Approximation* and has been intensively studied last time ([GMS], [GN], [Tr], [DET]). In this article results will be formulated using the notion of *cumulative coherence* of the dictionary introduced by J. Tropp [Tr]

$$\mu_1(\mathcal{D}) := \sup_{g \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{D}, \tilde{g} \neq g} |\langle \tilde{g}, g \rangle|. \quad (3)$$

Above-mentioned articles contain the following basic results of Sparse Approximation Theory.

Theorem A. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dictionary with $\mu_1(\mathcal{D}) < 1/2$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{D})$. Then*

$$G_m^{OGA}(f, \mathcal{D}) = f, \quad m \geq m_0, \\ \|f - G_m^{PGA}(f, \mathcal{D})\| = \|f_m\| \leq C \exp(-c(f)m), \quad m \geq 0.$$

For dictionaries with small $\mu_1(\mathcal{D})$ PGA provides optimal rate of convergence in $\mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{D})$, $1 \leq p < 2$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dictionary with $\mu_1(\mathcal{D}) < 1/3$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{D})$. Then*

$$\|f - G_m^{PGA}(f, \mathcal{D})\| = \|f_m\| \leq |f|_1 m^{-1/2}, \quad m \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2. *Suppose $\mathcal{D}$ is a dictionary with $\mu_1(\mathcal{D}) < 1/3$, p , $1 \leq p < 2$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{D})$. Then there exist $C_1 = C_1(p) > 0$ and $C_2 = C_2(\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) > 0$ such that for any $m \geq 1$*

$$\|f - G_m^{PGA}(f, \mathcal{D})\| = \|f_m\| \leq C_1 C_2 |f|_p m^{-1/p+1/2}.$$

In the same time for big (but finite) values of $\mu_1(\mathcal{D})$ Pure Greedy Algorithms can not always provide exponential rate of convergence, moreover one could be worse than $Cm^{-1/2}$:

Theorem 3. *There exists a dictionary $\mathcal{D}$ with $\mu_1(\mathcal{D}) < \infty$, $f_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{D})$, $\beta > 0$ and $C > 0$ and that such for any $m \geq 1$ we have*

$$\|f_0 - G_m^{PGA}(f_0, \mathcal{D})\| = \|f_m\| \geq Cm^{-1/2+\beta}.$$

Properties of dictionaries with bounded cumulative coherence. It's easy to see that any dictionary with bounded cumulative coherence in separable Hilbert space is countable. Suppose that elements of dictionary are enumerated: $\mathcal{D} = \{g^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dictionary with $\mu_1(\mathcal{D}) < 1/2$, $N > 0$, $c_\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $g^\nu \in \mathcal{D}$, $1 \leq \nu \leq N$. Then the following inequalities*

$$(1 - 2\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \sum_{\nu=1}^N c_\nu^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^N c_\nu g^\nu \right\|^2 \leq (1 + 2\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \sum_{\nu=1}^N c_\nu^2$$

hold.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$|c_1| \geq |c_2| \geq \dots \geq |c_N|.$$

We have

$$\left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^N c_\nu g^\nu \right\|^2 = \left\langle \sum_{\nu=1}^N c_\nu g^\nu, \sum_{\nu=1}^N c_\nu g^\nu \right\rangle = \sum_{\nu=1}^N \left(c_\nu^2 \langle g^\nu, g^\nu \rangle + 2c_\nu \sum_{\eta=\nu+1}^n c_\eta \langle g^\nu, g^\eta \rangle \right).$$

Using (3) and monotony of $|c_\nu|$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| c_\nu^2 \langle g^\nu, g^\nu \rangle + 2c_\nu \sum_{\eta=\nu+1}^n c_\eta \langle g^\nu, g^\eta \rangle - c_\nu^2 \right| &\leq 2c_\nu \left| \sum_{\eta=\nu+1}^n c_\eta \langle g^\nu, g^\eta \rangle \right| \leq \\ &\leq 2c_\nu^2 \sum_{\eta=\nu+1}^n |\langle g^\nu, g^\eta \rangle| \leq 2c_\nu^2 \mu_1(D). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left| \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^N c_\nu g^\nu \right\|^2 - \sum_{\nu=1}^N c_\nu^2 \right| \leq 2\mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \sum_{\nu=1}^N c_\nu^2.$$

□

Lemma 2. *Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a finite set of indexes and $\epsilon > 0$. If for f the representation*

$$f = f_\epsilon + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\lambda g^\lambda, \quad c_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad g^\lambda \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^p = |f|_p^p, \quad (5)$$

$$\|f_\epsilon\| < \epsilon, \quad (6)$$

holds, then for $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ we have

$$|\langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle - c_{\lambda_0}| < \mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| + \epsilon,$$

and for $\lambda_0 \notin \Lambda$ —

$$|\langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle| < \mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| + \epsilon.$$

Proof. Using representation (4) we write for $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$

$$\langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle - c_{\lambda_0} = \langle f_\epsilon + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\lambda g^\lambda, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle - c_{\lambda_0} \langle g^{\lambda_0}, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda_0} \langle c_\lambda g^\lambda, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle + \langle f_\epsilon, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle$$

and for $\lambda_0 \notin \Lambda$

$$\langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle = \langle f_\epsilon + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\lambda g^\lambda, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda_0} \langle c_\lambda g^\lambda, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle + \langle f_\epsilon, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle.$$

To complete the proof we estimate using (6) and Cauchy - Bunyakovsky - Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda_0} \langle c_\lambda g^\lambda, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle + \langle f_\epsilon, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle \right| &\leq \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda_0} |\langle g^\lambda, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle| + \|f_\epsilon\| \|g^{\lambda_0}\| < \\ &< \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| \sum_{\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{D}, \tilde{g} \neq g^{\lambda_0}} |\langle \tilde{g}, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle| + \epsilon \leq \mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dictionary with $\mu_1(\mathcal{D}) < 1/3$, $f \in \mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{D})$ $m \geq 1$. Assume that for $n = m - 1$, finite $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ the following representation

$$f_n = f - G_n^{PGA}(f, \mathcal{D}) = f_\epsilon + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_{\lambda,n} g^\lambda, \quad c_{\lambda,n} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad g^\lambda \in \mathcal{D}, \quad \|f_\epsilon\| < \epsilon \quad (7)$$

holds. If

$$\epsilon < \frac{1}{6}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,m-1}|, \quad (8)$$

then for $n = m$ we get (7) with the same Λ , f_ϵ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,m}|^p &\leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,m-1}|^p - 2^{-p}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D}))^p \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,m-1}|^p, \\ \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,m}| &\leq \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,m-1}|. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Proof. From the definition of PGA it follows, that for $m \geq 1$

$$f - G_m^{PGA}(f, \mathcal{D}) = f_m = f_{m-1} - G_1(f_{m-1}).$$

Therefore it's sufficient to prove the lemma for arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathcal{D})$ and $m = 1$.

To reduce the notations we write c_λ instead of $c_{\lambda,0}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Taking into account (8) we have

$$(1 - 2\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - 2\epsilon > (1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - 3\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| > 0 \quad (10)$$

By Lemma 2 we get

$$\max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, g^\lambda \rangle| > \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - \mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - \epsilon = (1 - \mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - \epsilon,$$

for $\lambda \notin \Lambda$, using also (10) we obtain

$$|\langle f, g^\lambda \rangle| < \mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| + \epsilon < (1 - \mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - \epsilon.$$

Therefore there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that

$$|\langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle| = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{D}} |\langle f, g \rangle| > (1 - \mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - \epsilon. \quad (11)$$

Using Lemma 2, we have

$$|\langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle| < |c_{\lambda_0}| + \mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| + \epsilon.$$

Combining last two inequalities, we obtain

$$(1 - \mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - \epsilon < |c_{\lambda_0}| + \mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| + \epsilon.$$

$$|c_{\lambda_0}| > (1 - 2\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - 2\epsilon.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $c_{\lambda_0} \geq 0$, that is

$$c_{\lambda_0} > (1 - 2\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - 2\epsilon. \quad (12)$$

Applying Lemma 2, (12) and (10), we obtain

$$\langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle > c_{\lambda_0} - \mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - \epsilon > (1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - 3\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|, \quad (13)$$

$$\langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle < c_{\lambda_0} + \mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| + \epsilon.$$

Hence by (12) and (10)

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda_0} - \langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle &\geq c_{\lambda_0} - \left(c_{\lambda_0} + \mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| + \epsilon \right) \geq - \left(\mu_1(\mathcal{D}) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| + \epsilon \right) \geq \\ &\geq -c_{\lambda_0} + (1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| - 3\epsilon \geq -c_{\lambda_0} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Combining (13) and (14), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{\lambda_0} - \langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle| + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| &\leq c_{\lambda_0}, \\ |c_{\lambda_0} - \langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle|^p &\leq c_{\lambda_0}^p - \left(\frac{1}{2}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

If we set

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda,1} &= c_\lambda = c_{\lambda,0}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\}, \\ c_{\lambda_0,1} &= c_{\lambda_0,0} - \langle f, g^{\lambda_0} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

then statement of the lemma will follow from (15). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Lemma 3 implies that for any $m \geq 0$

$$|f_m|_1 \leq |f|_1.$$

Using Lemma 3.5 from [DT] and Lemma 3 we have for $m \geq 0$

$$|\langle f_m, g_{m+1} \rangle| = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{D}} |\langle f_m, g \rangle| \geq \frac{\|f_m\|^2}{|f_m|_1} \geq \frac{\|f_m\|^2}{|f|_1}.$$

By definition of PGA

$$\|f_{m+1}\|^2 = \|f_m\|^2 - \langle f_m, g_{m+1} \rangle^2 \leq \|f_m\|^2 - \left(\frac{\|f_m\|^2}{|f|_1} \right)^2 = \|f_m\|^2 \left(1 - \frac{\|f_m\|^2}{|f|_1^2} \right).$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 from [DT] for $a_m = \|f_{m-1}\|^2$ and $A = |f|_1^2$ and taking into account the inequality

$$a_1 = \|f_0\|^2 \leq |f|_1^2,$$

we obtain that for $\{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ such that

$$a_{m+1} \leq a_m \left(1 - \frac{a_m}{|f|_1^2} \right), \quad a_1 \leq A,$$

the following inequality

$$a_m \leq Am^{-1}$$

holds. Thus for $m \geq 1$ we have

$$\|f_m\| = a_{m+1}^{1/2} \leq |f|_1(m+1)^{-1/2} \leq |f|_1 m^{-1/2}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $k \geq 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$. For arbitrary ϵ

$$0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{6}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D}))k^{-1/p}|f|_p, \tag{16}$$

there exists representation (4) such that inequalities (5) and (6) hold. We claim that there exists n , $0 \leq n \leq k$ such that

$$\max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,n}| \leq c_1(p)c_2(\mu_1(\mathcal{D}))k^{-1/p}|f|_p, \tag{17}$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,n}|^p \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,0}|^p = |f|_p^p. \tag{18}$$

For every $m = 1, \dots, k$ for $n = m-1$ the representation (7) hold (beginning with $c_{\lambda,0} := c_\lambda$) and either

$$\max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,m-1}| \leq k^{-1/p}|f|_p,$$

in this case we can set $n = m-1$, or

$$\max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda,m-1}| \leq k^{-1/p}|f|_p.$$

Then taking into account (16) we have (8). Therefore, by Lemma 3 the representation (7) holds for $n = m$ and using (5) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, m}|^p \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, m-1}|^p - 2^{-p}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D}))^p \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, m-1}|^p = \dots = \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, 0}|^p - \sum_{n=1}^m 2^{-p}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D}))^p \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, m-1}|^p \leq |f|_p^p - m2^{-p}(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D}))^p \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, m}|^p. \\
\max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, m}| &\leq 2(1 - 3\mu_1(\mathcal{D}))^{-1} m^{-1/p} |f|_p, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, m}|^p \leq |f|_p^p,
\end{aligned}$$

This provides (17) and (18) for $n = k$.

Using (17) and (18), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, n}|^2 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, n}|^p |c_{\lambda, n}|^{2-p} = \left(\max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, n}| \right)^{2-p} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_{\lambda, n}|^p \leq \\
&\leq \left(c_3(p) c_4(\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) k^{-\frac{2-p}{p}} |f|_p^{2-p} \right) |f|_p^p = c_3(p) c_4(\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) k^{-2/p+1} |f|_p^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1 and (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_k\| &\leq \|f_n\| \leq \left\| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_{\lambda, n} g^\lambda \right\| + \|f_\epsilon\| \leq \left((1 + 2\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_{\lambda, n}^2 \right)^{1/2} + \epsilon \leq \\
&\leq C_1(p) C_2(\mu_1(\mathcal{D})) k^{-1/p+1/2} |f|_p + \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ can be arbitrary small the last inequality completes the proof of Theorem 2. $\square$

References

- [DT] DeVore R. A., Temlyakov V. N. “Some remarks on Greedy Algorithms” // *Advances in Computational Mathematics*. 1996. V. 5. P. 173–187.
- [DET] Donoho D. L., Elad M., Temlyakov V. N. “Stable recovery of sparse overcomplete representations in the presence of noise” // *IEEE Trans. Inform. Th.* 2006. V. 52:1. P. 6–18.
- [GMS] Gilbert A.C., Muthukrishnan M., Strauss J. “Approximation of functions over redundant dictionaries using coherence” // *Proc. 14th Annu. ACM-SIAM Symp. Discrete Algorithms*. 2003. P. 243–252.
- [GN] Gribonval R., Nielsen M. “On the strong uniqueness of highly sparse expansions from redundant dictionaries” // *Proc. Int Conf. Independent Component Anal. (ICA'04)* 2004.

- [L] Livshits E.D. “Optimality of the greedy algorithm for some function classes” // *Sbornik Mathematics* 2007. V. 198:5. . 95–114.
- [LT] Livshitz E.D., Temlyakov V.N., “Two lower estimates in greedy approximation” // *Constructive Approximation*. 2003. V. 19. P. 509–524.
- [T] Temlyakov V.N. “Greedy approximation” // *Acta Numerica*. 2008. V. 17. P. 235–409.
- [Tr] Tropp J.A. “Greed is good: algorithmic results for sparse approximation” // *IEEE Trans. Inform. Th.* 2004. V. 50:10. P. 2231–2242.